

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.                      | . FI      | LING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 09/858,326                          | (         | 05/16/2001   | Edward M. Scheidt    | STS 133             | 2783                                |  |
| 49691                               | 7590      | 03/06/2006   |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER                            |  |
| IP STRATEGIES                       |           |              | KHOSHNOODI, NADIA    |                     |                                     |  |
| 12 1/2 WALL STREET SUITE I ART UNIT |           | PAPER NUMBER |                      |                     |                                     |  |
| ASHEVILI                            | LE, NC 28 | 8801         |                      | 2137                |                                     |  |

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| pr Serie   |  |   | 6)   |
|--|--|---|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |
| Advisory Action  | 09/858,326   | SCHEIDT ET AL.  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |
|  | Nadia Khoshnoodi   | 2137  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress   |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO  | OR ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Advices.</li> </ol> | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep of the final rejection.   | offidavit, or other evidence with 37 of the compliance with 37 of the compliance within the complex final rejection, whichever the complex final rejection, whichever the complex final rejection, which ever the complex final rejection is the complex final rejection. | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or<br>I one of the |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on   | ian SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on the control of the control | of the final rejection.  IRST REPLY WAS FILE  a) and the appropriate extension  | D WITHIN TWO                                 |
| been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension: CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com-                        | atutory period for reply originally set in the<br>ns after the mailing date of the final rejecti   | e final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma  | as set forth in (b)<br>ay reduce any         |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in conformal of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS  | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)   | ), to avoid dismissal (   | of the appeal.                               |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloc)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be   | onsideration and/or search (see NC<br>ow);   | OTE below);   |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))  | ).   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be</li> </ul>  | 121. See attached Notice of Non-Cs):   |   |  |
| the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  | ) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ v   |   |  |

| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .       |  |
|--|--|
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE            |  |

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

<u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>

| 12. | □ N | ote the attached | Information Dis | closure Statement(s | ). (PTO/SB/08 or | PTO-1449) P | 'aper No(s) |  |
|-----|-----|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
|     |     |                  |                 |                     |                  |             |             |  |

13. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants contend that it is not clear that Fieres et al. teach that a code image is an application executable. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Fieres et al. teach that the hash includes executable components of the application (col. 9, line 25 - col. 10, line 23. Applicants further contend that claim 1 recites a process of checking the authorization and authenticity of an application and does not recite executing the application in a secured location. Examiner would like to point out that Fieres et al. teach a process of checking the authorization and authenticity of an application as shown in col. 2, line 53 - col. 3, line 6 with the extra feature of executing the application in a secured location for added security, i.e. Fieres et al. teach the claimed invention with more steps for security. Finally Applicants contend that Fieres et al. do not disclose that the application is signed by a domain authority, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Fieres et al. teach that an application domain authority creates a certificate for the application where certificates contain the granting authority's key to show that it is a valid certificate, therefore it is identical to the domain authority signing the application (col. 6, lines 7-11). Therefore, it is the Examiner's conclusion that the claims, as presented, are not patentably distinct from the prior art of record.

Nadir Clusturel. 3/1/2006

EMMANUEL . MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER